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Older Adults and COVID-19

• Mortality risk for COVID-19 is well-known to be significantly higher for older individuals for several reasons, including the higher prevalence of underlying risk factors such as chronic disease and lowered immunity.

• At the same time, lockdown measures also pose potential adverse effects for community-dwelling adults:
  • Physical well-being
  • Mental well-being
  • Social well-being
  • Economic well-being

• The extent to which social networks and social protection systems have been able to mitigate these effects in Singapore and other settings is much less certain (although much speculation has taken place).

• Data from the Tsao Foundation’s ongoing community monitoring efforts in Whampoa provide one lens into the ground-level realities.
What data did we examine?

• These insights are based on data collected as part of a regular telephone checkup for residents of Whampoa who had previously attended Tsao Foundation programs
  • Attempts were made to call each respondent 2x.
  • Each call consisted of a brief introduction, an open-ended inquiry as to general well-being and coping as well as any assistance currently needed.
  • This was followed by a short set of questions directly related to COVID-19.
  • Calls were conducted in English and Chinese, as suited to the participant
  • Respondents were not compensated for participation and free to refuse to answer at any point.
• After feeding into operational needs, data was anonymized and analyzed
• Calls were not designed primarily for research but as an “add-on” to regular operations
Timeline and Response Rates

First contact early to mid March 2020
119 individuals were contacted
88 completed the survey.

Re-contacted in mid-April 2020
(1 week following guidance for older adults to stay at home)
122 individuals were contacted
116 completed the survey

Third round of contacts currently ongoing
Ongoing monitoring of well-being is expected to continue in the foreseeable future
Data collection

• Calls consisted of a brief introduction, an open-ended inquiry as to general well-being and coping as well as any assistance currently needed.

• This was followed by a short set of questions directly related to COVID-19.

• Calls were conducted in English and Chinese, as suited to the participant.

• Respondents were not compensated for participation and free to refuse to answer at any point.

• Of 157 participants on the call list, 24% and 34% of the population were not contactable in March and April respectively. Of the remaining individuals, there was a survey nonresponse rate of 43% in March and 25% in April.
Sample Characteristics (April data)

- 88% female, 12% male
- Mean age 73 (median 75)
- 87% reported being retired
In March, 35% of the respondents felt they did not have a good understanding of the situation and a further 14% refused to answer.

44% felt that the chance of getting COVID was low or very low, but an nearly 50% said they did not know or refused to answer.

24% felt that consequences for their health would be low or very low while 75% said they did not know or refused to answer.

![Chart showing the impact of COVID on well-being]
Many Are Resilient (But Not All)

• By April, all contacted respondents reported having a good understanding of COVID

• 89% reported that their mood was the same as usual, and a small fraction (3%) reported even feeling better than usual

• However, some are not able to adapt
  • 8% felt that their mood was worse or very much worse.
  • 9% reported being affected by concerns about health, disruption or social distancing
# Behavior Change

In the last month, I have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washed my hands with soap and water more often than usual</td>
<td>Yes (14%)</td>
<td>Yes (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (6%)</td>
<td>Yes (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used a mask when I go out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kept away from crowded places/Stay indoors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87%
## Financial Safety Nets At Work

In the last month, have you experienced any of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You or your household breadwinner told not to come to work, had salary cut or lost</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrew extra CPF/savings to meet your needs</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had to borrow money from others to meet your needs</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received COVID-19 assistance in the form of cash payments</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received other forms of assistance</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Potential Vulnerabilities

## Means of getting necessities in the last month:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Means of getting necessities in the last month:</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Some of the time</th>
<th>Most of the time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I go out myself</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members who live with me go out and bring these home</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives / friends / neighbours are able to deliver these to me</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer/charity organizations deliver these to me</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The shops/clinics are able to deliver to me</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Experiences in the last month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiences in the last month</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unable to get food</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to get medicine</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced exercise (March respondents)</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancelled healthcare appointment/had appointment cancelled (April respondents)</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the early to mid stage of the pandemic, most individuals felt that although somewhat concerned about COVID-19, “life must go on”

- While curtailing their outside trips, most reported still going out to shop or exercise every few days.
- Expressions of worry, boredom and inconvenience due to disruption to their daily routines or having family members in quarantine were common.
- Many individuals reported relying on family members living with them for social interaction as well as daily activities.
- When asked about assistance, many wanted practical and concrete help eg having an adequate supply of masks.

While on average, many were able to adjust, a small but vulnerable group of households reported experiencing significant and persistent distress, including

- Older couples with one caregiving for the other
- Households dependent on external NGO assistance due to disruption in these sources of food
Findings and Implications

- We find that this group of older adults are highly pragmatic and value independence and resilience, even in periods of great stress.

- However, important vulnerabilities remain:
  - Well-being is eroded by uncertainty.
  - Compliance with personal hygiene measures was high but movement restrictions appeared challenging.
  - Anxiety, reduction in exercise and delayed healthcare seeking may be the fore-runner of a wave of chronic illness.
  - COVID-19 restrictions exacerbate existing structural disparities, especially for elderly caregivers or those with poor social connectedness.

- The data suggest that leveraging operational data with rapid action-oriented research support can be valuable, although challenges remain:
  - A large minority refused or were hesitant to answer questions about health risks.
  - Values like stoicism or implied stigma about assistance may lead to downplaying.
  - Hawthorne effects are unavoidable.
  - Qualitative insights are critical.